A comparison of dexmedetomidine versus midazolam for sedation, hemodynamic and intraocular pressure response during cataract surgery under conscious sedation: A randomized, double-blind trial

Anil K Paswan^{1,*}, Shashi Prakash², RP Maurya³, Rajesh Meena⁴, Yashpal Singh⁵, Sandip Loha⁶

¹Associate Professor, ^{2,4,5,6}Assistant Professor, Dept. of Anaesthesia, ³Assistant Professor, Dept. of Ophthalmology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh

*Corresponding Author:

Email: dranil1973@gmail.com

Abstract

Objectives To investigate the efficacy and safety of sedation and hemodynamic changes with dexmedetomidine and midazolam in cataract surgery.

Methods Patients with ASA physical status I–II undergoing elective cataract surgery were randomly allocated in two groups to receive dexmedetomidine or midazolam for conscious sedation. Intraocular pressure (IOP), continuous peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂), heart rate, mean arterial pressure (MAP), Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS), during and after the procedure.

Results Patients in the dexmedetomidine group (n = 30) had lower heart rate, MAP, higher SpO₂ and lower RSS scores during sedation than those in the midazolam group. There was decreased in intraoccular pressure after sedation and statistically was not significant. However satisfaction was higher in the dexmedetomidine group than the midazolam group. There were no any kind of complication reported in either group.

Conclusion Dexmedetomidine is a good safety profile as compared to midazolam group and an effective sedative agent for use in cataract surgery.

Keywords: Cataract surgery, Dexmedetomidine, Hemodynamics changes, Intraocular pressure, Midazolam

Introduction

The goal of conscious sedation to provide good control of intraocular pressure (IOP), and to prevent intraoperative hypertension, anxiety panic disorder tachycardia and CAD with an adequate level of sedation. Sudden significant rise of IOP can produce permanent loss of vision perioperatively, so success in ophthalmic surgery mainly depends on meticulous control of IOP1. Therefore, many drugs and methods have been proposed to prevent IOP, as rise in ophthalmic surgery. Dexmedetomidine a centrally acting alpha adrenoceptor agonist antihypertensive agent, reduces anaesthetic requirements, improves perioperative haemo- dynamic and adrenergic stability and reduces IOP.²⁻⁵

The aim of this study is to investigate the use of dexmedetomidine compared with midazolam in conscious sedation for cataract Surgery, and examine its effects on perioperative haemodynamics and sedation.

Materials and Methods

After approval from ethical committee sixty patients aged 40-70 yr, selected using a computer generated randomized table, patients were assigned in two group to undergo conscious sedation with either dexmedetomidine (D) 29 patients or midazolam (M) 31 patients and were taken into the operating room without any premedication. Patients in the dexmedetomidine group received 0.4 µg/kg dexmedetomidine bolus over 10 min before cataract surgery, followed by 0.2–

0.3 µg/kg/hr dexmedetomidine continuous infusion until an appropriate level of sedation was achieved⁶.

Midazolam group patients received $0.05\,\mathrm{mg/kg}$ midazolam bolus injection and $1\,\mu\mathrm{g/kg}$ fentanyl citrate intravenous infusion $10\,\mathrm{min}$ before cataract surgery, followed by $0.01\,\mathrm{mg/kg}$ midazolam at intervals of approximately $2-5\,\mathrm{min}$ until a satisfactory level of sedation was achieved Additional $0.01\,\mathrm{mg/kg}$ midazolam boluses were available for rescue sedation, if required. In both groups, additional and $1\,\mu\mathrm{g/kg}$ fentanyl boluses were available for rescue analgesia. Before surgery peribulbar block were given with 2% lignocaine.

In this randomized double blind clinical study, we compared the effects of dexmedetomidine and modazolam as pre-medications to control IOP and hemodynamics in conscious sedation.

Statistical analyses: Data was entered and analyzed with SPSS software. Mean of all numeric data were compared with Students t-test. Continuous data were presented as mean ± SD and range. Between-group comparisons were made using Student's *t*-test for normally distributed data. *P*-values <0.05 were defined as statistically significant.

Results

Demographic and clinical data on the patients are shown in **Table 1** were no statically difference.

Table 1: Demographic Profile

| Groups | Number | Age in year | Weight in KG | Gender (M:F) |
|--------|--------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| D | 29 | 61+8.1 | 64+12.2 | 14:15 |
| M | 31 | 60+11.17 | 66+10.41 | 16:15 |

Data on clinical parameters at each time-point are shown in **Table 2**. RSS, Heart rate and blood pressure were lower after sedation with dexmedetomidine and significantly lower than midazolam group at point number 2 and 3 (P < 0.05 for all comparisons). There was not much change at SpO2 in either group. Intraoccular pressure were lower in dexmedetomidine compared to midazolam and statistically insignificant. patient experienced No rebound hypertension, tachycardia or acute reversal of sedative and analgesic effects in either group. Table 2

| Parameters | Group D | | | | | |
|------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | |
| HR (bpm) | 80±6.16 | 70.97±7.05* | 90.43±8.08 | | | |
| MAP | 94.20±3.01 | 78.93±5.29* | 93.00±4.63 | | | |
| Spo2 | 97.83±1.17 | 97.47±1.53 | 98.14±1.90 | | | |
| RSS | NR | 3.2±0.5 | 2.2±0.7 | | | |
| IOP | 14.8±1.2 | 14.2±0.5 | NR | | | |
| Group M | | | | | | |
| HR | 76.53±6.47 | 70.13±9.14 | 84.60±8.37 | | | |
| MAP | 85.33±7.46 | 84.57±7.11 | 91.20±7.39 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Spo2 | 98.23±1.50 | 95.50±1.02 | 97.63±3.87 | | | |
| RSS | NR | 4.2±0.1 | 2.0±0.5 | | | |
| IOP | 14.2±0.8 | 15.8±0.2 | NR | | | |

^{*}p value<0.05 is statistically significant as compared to base line value in both group.

1-Before procedure, 2- Sedation before surgery, 3-After surgery and discontinuation of Drug, RSS: Ramsay Sedation Scale, IOP: Intraocular Pressure, NR-Not recorded.

Discussion

The main goal of sedation for cataract surgery is to prepare the patient to stay calm during peribulbar injection and cataract surgery. Under local anesthesia for cataract surgery provides clear immobile field with good patient and surgeon cooperation. Therefore, most cataract surgeries in recent years are performed by phacoemulsification under topical anesthesia. Dexmedetomidine is known sedative agent in critically ill patients in the intensive care unit, and during surgery, cardiac catheterization and radiology. In the present study Dexmedetomidine and midazolam were because drugs these have distinctive pharmacological properties and their respective adverse effects require different management strategies.7 Our data showed that patients in the dexmedetomidine group experienced better peripheral oxygen saturation and RSS scores, decreased mean arterial pressure, heart rate and intraocular pressure than those in the midazolam group. Jakolla et al also shown same effects

as our present study⁸. Anxiety before surgery can stimulate catecholamine secretion and result there is possibility of tachycardia, hypertension and myocardial infarction. Proper using of sedative agents as premedication can reduce this anxiety and prepare patient for surgery. In elderly, hypertension is the most common, and nearly half of the cataract patients have co morbid condition like hypertension/ diabetes/ coronary artery disease especially in Indian scenario and this kind of patients more prone for ischemic heart disease.

Limitations of this study are small number of sample size which is concerned with safety profile, this study included only low-risk patients (ASA I or II), and single-centre study.

In conclusion, our results suggest that dexmedetomidine has a good safety profile and is an effective sedative agent to control anxiety or hypertension perioperativly in cataract surgery elderly patients.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thanks statistician Dr. TB Singh and staff of Institute of Medical Science, BHU, Varanasi for their valuable support.

Financial support: Nil

Conflicts of Interests: None

References

- Murphy DF. Anesthesia and intraocular pressure. Anesthesia and Analgesia 1985;64:520-30.
- Flacke JW, Bloor BC, Flacke WE, Wong D, Dazzo S, Stead S, Laks H. Reduced narcotic requirement by clonidine with improved hemodynamic and adrenergic stability in patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery. Anesthesiology 1987;67:11-19.
- 3. Lawrence CJ, De Lange S. Effects of a single preoperative dexmedetomidine dose on isoflurane requirements and peri-operative haemodynamic stability. Anaesthesia 1997;52:736–744.
- Ghignone M, Noe C, Calvillo O, Quintin L. Anesthesia for ophthalmic surgery in elderly: the effects of clonidine on intraocular pressure, perioperative hemodynamics, and anesthetic requirement. Anesthesiology 1988;68:707-716.
- Scheinin H, Virtanen R, MacDonald E, Lammintausta R, Scheinin M. Medetomidine—A novel a,-adrenoceptor agonist: A review of its pharmacodynamic effects. Progress in NewoPsychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry 1989;13:635-65.
- Waring JP, Baron TH, Hirota WK, et al. Guidelines for conscious sedation and monitoring during gastrointestinal endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2003;58:317–322.
- Dere K, Sucullu I, Budak ET, et al. A comparison of dexmedetomidine versus midazolam for sedation, pain and hemodynamic control, during colonoscopy under conscious sedation. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2010;27:648.
- Jaakola ML, Ali-Melkkilä T, Kanto J, et al. Dexmedetomidine reduces intraocular pressure, intubation responses and anaesthetic requirements in patients undergoing ophthalmic surgery. Br J Anaesth 1992;68:570–575.